Effectiveness of 4,4'-Dichloro-alpha-(trichloromethyl)benzhydrol (FW-293) for Control of Citrus Mites in California

L. R. JEPPSON, H. S. ELMER, M. J. JESSER, and J. O. COMPLIN University of California Citrus Experiment Station, Riverside, Calif.

In studies conducted in 16 southern California citrus groves, 4,4'-dichloro- α -(trichloro-methyl)benzhydrol, or FW-293, was found to be effective in controlling the citrus red mite, Metatetranychus citri (McG.). This material also controlled the citrus flat mite, Brevipalpus lewisi McG., in three citrus groves but was not so effective as petroleum oil (light-medium grade) or Chlorobenzilate in controlling the citrus bud mite, Aceria sheldoni (Ewing). In limited field trials it caused initial reductions in populations of the six-spotted mite, Eotetranychus sexmaculatus (Riley), the Yuma mite, Eotetranychus yumensis (McG.), and the citrus rust mite, Phyllocoptruta oleivora (Ashm.).

The citrus red mite, Metatetranychus citri (McG.), in certain districts of southern California, appears to have developed a measure of resistance to the widely used acaricide ovex (supplied by The Dow Chemical Co., Seal Beach, Calif.). In initial field screening tests applications of 4,4'-dichloro- α -(trichloromethyl)benzhydrol, or FW-293 (supplied by Rohm & Haas Co., Philadelphia, Pa.) resulted in effective control of citrus red mite.

This paper shows the effectiveness of FW-293 in relation to the acaricides currently in use for control of citrus red mite and other mites injurious to citrus in this area.

Materials and Methods

All spray applications for these studies were made in commercial citrus groves. Conventional equipment was used for treatments for citrus red mite control on lemons in six groves at Corona in Riverside County, in one grove in San Diego County, and in two groves at Santa Paula in Ventura County; also on Valencia oranges at Anaheim and Tustin in Orange County, and on navel oranges at Corona and Riverside in Riverside County and at Redlands in San Bernardino County. Three lemon groves at Corona were used for tests with boom equipment. Spray-blower applications were evaluated in a lemon grove at Corona and in a navel orange grove at Redlands. Treatments for control of the citrus flat mite, Brevipalpus lewisi McG., included Valencia and navel oranges at Porterville and Exeter in the San Joaquin Valley, and tangerines at El Centro in the Imperial Valley. Two groves at Escondido were utilized for evaluation of this acaricide for control of the citrus bud mite, Aceria sheldoni (Ewing), on lemons.

Table I. Effectiveness of FW-293 Applied by Conventional Equipment for Control of Citrus Red Mite on Lemon Trees

Material	Formulation, $\%^a$	Actual Toxicant, Ounce/100 Gal.	Av.	No. of Liv	e Mites Per	32-Leaf Sa	mple
	Grove A, C	Corona, River	side Co.	, Treated	April 8, 1	954	
				Day	s after Trea	tment ^b	
			14	28	49	75	116
FW-293	15.0 EC	3	0.0	0.7	6.0	31.0	40.0
	15.0 EC	4	0.6	0.7	3.5	21.5	20.0
	18.5 WP	3	28.5	0.6	8.0	72.0	RT
	18.5 WP	4	11.5	0.4	4.0	72.5	RT
Demeton	21.2 EC	2	0.0	0.0	2.5	4.3	29.5
Ovex	50.0 WP	3	3.0	19.0	136.0	RT	
Neotran	40.0 WP	0.25)					
(light							
(light- medium)		$1^{3}/_{4}$ gal.	0.0	11.3	18.0	105.0	217.0
	Grove B, C	lorona, River	side Co.,	Treated	June 18, 1	954	
				Day	s after Trea	tment ^b	
			22	63	79	123	253
F W-2 93	15.0 EC	6	1.5	0.9	8.0	13.6	48.5
	15.0 EC	12	1.0	0.4	7.1	4.3	17.2
	18.5 WP	6	1.0	2.3	30.0	65.0	105.0
_	18.5 WP	4	73.5	2.0	35.0	145.5	RT
Demeton	21.2 EC	1	0.7	0.5	49.0	85.0	52.0
	21.2 EC	2	0.0	0.3	5.0	17.5	44.0
	Grove C, Cor	rona, Riversio	de Co., I	reated N	ovember 3	, 1955	
				Day	s after Treat	ment	
			194	21	5	242	257
FW-293	18.5 EC	3	0.0	1	. 4	8.5	16.0
	18.5 EC	6	0.0	1	.5	1.1	4.3
	18.5 WP	3	0.1	1	.0	2.8	5.5
	18.5 WP	6	0.0	1	. 2	5.8	7.5
Demeton	26.1 EC	2	1.0	8	. 5	1.5	15.5
	20.1 EU	3	1.0	10	. 4	1.0	0.0
(Grove D, Sant	a Paula, Ven	itura Co.	, Treated	February	8, 1956	
				Da	ys after Tree	atment	
			82	110	120	139	100
FW-293	18.5 EC	4.5	0.0	0.7	1.2	0.9	6.0
Demotor	18.5 WP	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.8	10.5
Demeton	20.1 EC 50.0 WP	2.0	0.0	0.1	10.0	4.2	52.0
Aramite	15 0 WP	1.5	2.6	9.7	115.0	RT	
mannee	13.0 111	1.5)					

Table I. Effectiveness of FW-293 Applied by Conventional Equipment for Control of Citrus Red Mite on Lemon Trees (Continued)

Material	Formulaton, % ª	Actual Toxicant, Ounce/100 Gal.	Av1	No. of Live Mi	tes Per 32-Le	af Sample
	Grove E, Santa	a Paula, Ventu	ra Co., Tr	eated Februa	ary 16, 1956	
				Days after	• Treatment ^b	
			54	74	118	171
FW-293	18.5 EC	4,5	0.0	1.0	0.4	275
Ovex Aramite	50.0 WP 15.0 WP	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 4 . 0 \\ 1 . 8 \end{array} \right\}$	11.0	83.0	RT	
G	rove F, Rancho	Santa Fe, San	Diego Co.	, Treated O	ctober 17, 1	955
				Days after	- Treatment	
			182	226	245	275
FW-293	18.5 WP 18.5 WP	3.0 6.0	4.2 0.0	9.0 0.0	37.6 0.2	760.0 3.8
Demeton	26.1 EC	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8

Demeton 26.1 EC 2.0 0.0 0.0		10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Demeton	26.1 EC	2.0	0.0	0.0

^a EC, emulsifiable concentrate; WP, wettable powder.

^b RT, re-treated.

Table II. Effectiveness of FW-293 Applied by Conventional Equipment for Control of Citrus Red Mite in Five Orange Groves

		Actual	Av. No. of Live Mites per 32-Leaf Sample					
Material	Formulation, % ^b	Toxicant, Ounce/100 Gal.	Grove A after 144 doys	Grove B after 279 days	Grove C after 164 days	Grove D ofter 293 days	Grove E after 124 days	
FW-293	15.0 EC	3	3	2	7	1	5	
	15.0 EC	6	0	1	8	Ő	2	
	18.5 WP	3	21	6	9	5	12	
	18.5 WP	6	4	3	7	2	5	
Demeton	21.2 EC	1	10	98	2	3	12	
	21.2 EC	2	4	45	1	2	17	
Ovex	50.0 WP	4	142					
Petroleum oil (light-								
medium)		13/4 gal.		25				

^a Grove A (Valencia oranges, Anaheim) treated May 6, 1954. Grove B (Valencia oranges, Tustin) treated October 15, 1954. Grove C (Navel oranges, Corona), treated March 22, 1955. Grove D (Navel oranges, Riverside) treated September 20, 1954. Grove E (Navel oranges, Redlands) treated April 6, 1956. ^b EC, emulsifiable concentrate; WP, wettable powder.

Table III. Effectiveness of FW-293 Applied by Boom Equipment for Control of Citrus Red Mite at Corona

Material	Formulation, %°	Actual Toxicant, Lb./Acre	Av	. No. of Li	ve Mites per	32-Leaf Samp	le		
	Grov	ve A, Treate	d May 17,	1955, at	1500 Gal./	Acre			
				Day	rs after Treat	ment ^b			
			30	45	80	178	202		
FW-293 Demeton Ovex Aramite	18.5 EC 26.1 EC 50.0 WP 15.0 WP	2,25 1,25 4,0 3,0	0.0 0.1 1.5 0.0	0.1 0.1 3.0 0.1	1.0 1.5 25.3 10.0	14.0 8.0 RT ⁵ RT	7.5 24.5 		
	Grove	B, Treated I	November	11, 1955,	at 1500 Ga	l./Acre			
				Doys after Treatment					
			70	1	46	164	178		
FW-293 Demeton Aramite Ovex	18.5 EC 18.5 WP 26.1 EC 15.0 WP 50.0 WP	3.7 3.7 1.25 2.4 4.0	0.0 0.0 0.1 7.1 8.0	2:	0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 0.0	0.0 0.8 8.4 RT RT	0.1 0.5 32.0		
	Grove	C. Treated	March 1, 1	956, at 1	500 Gal./A	cre			
				Do	ys after Trea	atment			
				62		137			
FW-293 Demeton	18.5 EC 26.1 EC pulsifiable cor	3.0 1.25	(VP wettab	0.0 0.1		5.0 94.0			
⁶ RT, re	-treated	icentrate, v	vi, wettab	ie howdei	•				

Conventional sprays were applied by means of orchard spray rigs equipped with high-pressure reciprocating-type pumps and manually operated spray guns. A tower was used where adequate coverage was not readily obtained by spraying from the ground. Applications were made at 1500 to 2000 gallons per acre-the rate depending on the size of the trees. Boom-spray applications were made with an oscillating boom sprayer equipped with a high-pressure centrifugal pump. Spray-blower applications were made by means of a fishtail type, high air-volume blower equipped with spray pump capable of discharging spray into the air stream in the fishtail under 300 pounds' pressure. The gallonage of spray applied per acre by boom and spray-blower equipment varied according to the tree size. Amounts are indicated in the tables of results.

Plots treated for citrus red mite control consisted of eight trees each, with adequte buffer rows to allow for sprav drift. Each treatment was applied to two plots in each grove. Citrus red mite populations were evaluated by counting the adult mites on 32 leaves on each of the eight trees in each plot, as described by Jeppson (1). Results are reported as average number of mites per tree (32-leaf sample). To evaluate populations of citrus flat mite, two 1-inch square areas were examined on each of eight fruits per tree, eight trees per plot, by means of a linen counter. Results are reported as average number of mites per square inch of fruit surface. Evaluations of populations of the citrus bud mite were made by examining five buds on each of 15 new-growth terminals from each of eight trees per plot. Results are reported as percentages of buds infested.

Results

The rates of repopulation of citrus red mite in six lemon groves treated with conventional spray equipment are reported in Table I.

The effectiveness of conventional spray applications of FW-293 as compared with that of similar applications of demeton. ovex, or petroleum oil for control of the citrus red mite in five orange groves is reported in Table II. Citrus red mite population trends following boom applications of FW-293 in three lemon groves are reported in Table III. Results of spray-blower treatments in a lemon grove at Corona and a navel orange grove at Redlands are reported in Table IV. The relative effectiveness of FW-293 and Chlorobenzilate for control of the citrus flat mite on oranges and tangerines, as indicated by tests in three groves, is reported in Table V. The degree of control of citrus bud mite obtained from applications of FW-293

Table IV. Relative Effectiveness of FW-293 and Demeton Applied by Spray-blower Equipment for Control of Citrus Red Mite

Material	Emulsifiable Concentrote, %ª	Actual Toxicant, Lb./Acre		Av. No. a	of Live Mite	i per 32-Le	eaf Sample	
	Grove A (Lei	mons, Cor	ona), Tre	eated Jul	y 15, 1954	, at 300	Gal./Acre	e
		Days after Treatment						
			36	60	106	116	180	218
FW-293 Demeton	15.0 21.2	1.5 0.62	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ . \ 1 \\ 0 \ . \ 0 \end{array}$	0.9 1.5	0.7 1.0	0.2 2.5	2.9 0.5	27.5 62.0
Gro	ove B (Navel (Oranges, H	Redlands)), Treated	d April 4,	1956, at 6	00 Gal./A	Acre
					Days afte	r Treatmen	t	
			1	5		82		107
FW-293 Demeton	18.5 26.1	2.75 1.25	0 0	. 0 . 0).0 '.0	1	7.3 15.5
L O, CI	inuisinabic coi	neemane.						

Table V. Relative Effectiveness of FW-293 and Chlorobenzilate for Control of the Citrus Flat Mite on Orange and Tangerine Trees^a

Material	Formulation, % Wettable Powder	Actual Toxicant Ounce/ 100 Gal.	Av. No. of Live A (1 Sg. In. of F	lites per Sample ruit Surface)
Grove A,	Conventional Spray	Application June	16, 1955, at 22	50 Gal./Acre
			Aug. 3	Oct. 12
FW-293	18.5	3.0	0.00	0.00
Chlorobenzilate	25.0	4.0	0.00	0.13
$Check^b$			0.88	0.63
Grov	ve B, Boom-Spray Ap	plication July 15,	1955, at 1000 Gal	./Acre
			Aug. 3	Oct. 12
FW-293	18.5	3.0	0.00	0.00
	18.5	1.9	0.00	0.00
Chlorobenzilate	25.0	4.0	0.00	0.30
	25.0	3.0	0.00	0.19
Check ^b			7.22	
Grove C, C	Conventional Spray A	pplication Novem	ber 2, 1955, at 15	00 Gal./Acre
		1	Pre-treatment Nov	v. 23 Dec. 3
FW-293	18.5	0.75	111.0 1.	00.00
Chlorobenzilate	25.0	1.0	64.5 2.	63 0.19
	25.0	2.0	43.5 0.	.88 0.00
Ovex	50.0	4.0	20.0 4	50 0.88
4 Grove A (N	avel oranges) at Evet	er Grove B (Val	encia oranges) at F	Porterville Gro

^a Grove A (Navel oranges) at Exeter. Grove B (Valencia oranges) at Porterville. Grove C (Tangerines) at El Centro.

b Check treatment, a phosphate-type insecticide which was ineffective against this mite.

Table VI. Effectiveness of FW-293 in Relation to Chlorobenzilate and Petroleum Oil, Applied by Conventional Equipment for Control of Citrus Bud Mite on Lemon Trees at Escondido

Material	Formulation % Wettable Powder	Actual Toxicant Ounce/100 Gal.	9	6 Buds Infe	sted
	Grove A	A, Treated April 15	, 1954		
			June 22		July 7
FW-293 Chlorobenzilate Petroleum oil (light-	$\begin{array}{c} 18.5\\ 25.0 \end{array}$	9 2	54 0		RT 10
medium)		1.75 gal.			32
	Grove I	B, Treated May 10,	1955		
			June 22	July 12	Aug. 18
FW-293	18.5	6	5	16	52
FW-293 Chlorobenzilate	18.5	3	0	2	5
Chlorobenzilate Petroleum oil (light	25.0	4	0	4	10
medium)		1.75 gal.	0	6	35

in two lemon groves as compared with that from petroleum oil and Chlorobenzilate is reported in Table VI.

Discussion

The citrus red mite populations in the Corona area appear to have developed some degree of resistance to ovex; therefore, this treatment does not serve as an indicative control for tests reported in Tables I, (Groves A-C) and III. However, demeton and petroleum oil may be used as a basis for evaluating the effectiveness of the material under study. Results of the conventional spray applications made in April and June, 1954 (Table I, Groves A and B), indicate that the emulsifiable formulation was more effective than the wettable-powder formulation, which caused injury to young citrus leaves in these two tests. A new wettable-powder formulation mitigated the injury and proved to be more effective than the initial formulation and as effective as the emulsifiable formulation (Table I, Grove D and Table III. Grove B). The emulsifiable-concentrate formulation of FW-293 applied at 4 to 6 ounces of the actual material per 100 gallons of spray was as effective in controlling this mite as 2 ounces of demeton, and more effective than a petroleum oil spray application using $1^{3}/_{4}$ gallons of oil per 100 gallons. At Santa Paula, FW-293, used at 4.5 ounces per 100 gallons of spray, resulted in measurably better control than 2 ounces of demeton and was considerably more effective than a combination of ovex and Aramite applied at 4 and 1.5 ounces per 100 gallons, respectively (Table I, Grove D).

Applications of 2.25 pounds of FW-293 per acre in May and of 3.7 pounds in November as an emulsifiable-concentrate formulation by means of boom equipment, compared well in control of citrus red mite with similar applications of demeton applied at 1.25 pounds per acre (Table III). The boom applications of FW-293 were more effective than regular dosages of either ovex or Aramite under the conditions of the experiment. Spray-blower applications of FW-293 employing 300 or 600 gallons of sprav per acre resulted in control of citrus red mite comparable to that of commercial dosages of demeton.

Applications of FW-293 to Valencia oranges at Anaheim and Tustin, and to navel oranges at Corona and Riverside, resulted in relatively low populations of the citrus red mite for 144 to 293 days (Table II). In the grove at Anaheim citrus red mite populations on the ovextreated plots were high at the close of the test. At Tustin, demeton at 2 ounces and petroleum oil at $1^3/_4$ gallons were less effective than FW-293 when applied at 3 ounces in either a wettablepowder or an emulsifiable-concentrate formulation. At Redlands, the FW-293 applications resulted in as effective control as the standard dosage of demeton.

In experiments conducted in the San Joaquin Valley, FW-293 was more effective than either ovex or Chlorobenzilate in controlling the citrus flat mite (Table V). Ovex is not an effective treatment for this mite, but Chlorobenzilate has resulted in effective reduction in mite populations (2).

FW-293 was less effective than petroleum oil or Chlorobenzilate in the control of citrus bud mite (Table VI), but when a combination of FW-293 and Chlorobenzilate was used the treatment was more effective than Chlorobenzilate alone (Table VI).

In limited field trials, FW-293 resulted in good initial reduction of populations of the six-spotted mite, Eotetranychus sexmaculatus (Riley), the Yuma mite, E. yumensis (McG.), and the citrus rust mite, Phyllocoptruta oleivora (Ashm.). As reinfestations of these mites did not occur on the test plots before the groves required re-treatment for some other pest, data on the relative effectiveness of FW-293 with standard treatments are not available.

Literature Cited

- Jeppson, L. R., J. Econ. Entomol. 44, 328-37 (1951).
 Jeppson, L. R., Jesser, M. J., Complin, J. O., Ibid., 48, 375-7 (1955).

Received for review October 25, 1956. Accepted March 22, 1957. Paper No. 950, University of California Citrus Experiment Station, Riverside, Calif.

PESTICIDE RESIDUES

Field Persistence of the Acaricide 4.4'-Dichloro-alpha-(trichloromethyl)benzhydrol (FW-293) on and in Mature Lemons and Oranges

F. A. GUNTHER, R. C. BLINN, L. R. JEPPSON, and J. H. BARKLEY University of California Citrus Experiment Station, Riverside, Calif.

G. J. FRISONE and R. D. GARMUS Rohm & Haas Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Residues of the new acaricide, 4,4'-dichloro- α -(trichloromethyl)benzhydrol, or FW-293, were determined on and in lemons and oranges and in dried citrus cattle feed resulting from these fruits. The longevity of these residues is illustrated by the half-life values of 170 to 350 days for Valencia oranges and 120 to 150 days for lemons. Comparisons of the magnitudes of the residues obtained simultaneously by three methods indicate little if any metabolic or other degradation of FW-293 residues in situ. Negligible amounts of FW-293 were found in edible portions of the fruit. The peel retained approximately 30% of its FW-293 residues after being processed into dried citrus cattle feed.

The compound, 4,4'-dichloro- α -(tri-chloromethyl)benzhydrol, or FW-293, is a general acaricide against several mites and is proving useful in the control of the citrus red mite, Metatetranychus citri (McG.) and the citrus flat mite, Brevipalpus lewisi McG., on lemons and oranges in California (4). The present paper is concerned with the magnitudes of persisting residues of this acaricide in lemons and navel oranges treated in the field with commercial formulations.

Two semispecific analytical methods suitable for determining the magnitudes of residues of FW-293 on and in citrus tissues have been discussed (3,5). The first method (chloroform method) determines chloroform released quantitatively from FW-293 treated with strong alkali; the second method (ketone method) determines the 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone moiety of the parent molecule as liberated by mild alkaline treatment or as deposited in the fruit tissues by metabolic or other degradation in situ. Simultaneous scrutiny, by both analytical methods, of fruit samples collected at successive intervals after application, should therefore afford insight into degradative pathways of residues persisting within the treated fruits. For example, if the chloroform-type assays consistently diverged from the benzophenone-type assays with increasing posttreatment time, in situ degradation of the parent molecule would be proved.

On the other hand, if both types of assay conformed in decreasing the magnitudes of residues found, volatility or other losses of the entire parent molecule would be indicated. Finally, if both methods showed that the persisting residues were not decreasing with time, there could be little doubt that the parent deposits or residues were resisting mechanical dislodgment and metabolic, or other, degradation.

To supplement evaluations of these three possible types of residue behavior, a third analytical method was also employed. Determinations were made on parallel aliquots of the stripping solutions by means of the chloroform method and by the combustion total organic chloride method (1); additional key determinations were made by the more complicated ketone method on other parallel aliquots of the stripping solutions.

Data from all three methods agree in that they indicate that FW-293 residues on and in lemons and Valencia oranges deviate from the previously established (1,2) degradation and persistence behavior of other acaricide residues in citrus fruits. FW-293 residues persist without significant change for remarkably long periods after establishment as residues.

Materials and Methods

Mature Valencia orange trees were sprayed on June 28, 1955, with either 1.6 pounds of a 25% wettable-powder formulation of FW-293 per 100 gallons of water or with 1.6 pints of a 25% emulsifiable-concentrate formulation of FW-293 (2 pounds per gallon) per 100 gallons of water. Applications were made as conventional sprays, using a highpressure reciprocating pump and manually operated spray guns. Final sprays were applied at the rate of approximately 1500 gallons per acre. Mature lemon trees were sprayed similarly using the same spray concentrations on December 12, 1955. Mature navel orange trees were similarly treated January 5, 1956.

Mature orange fruit samples for assay of residues were collected 1, 5, 11, 15, 24, 43, 78, and 103 days after treatment. Mature lemon fruit samples for assay were collected 0, 10, 17, 24, and 31 days after treatment. Eight fruits (two from